

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....	I-1
A. Project Location .....	I-1
B. Background.....	I-3
II. EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	II-1
A. Highway Systems .....	II-1
B. Geometric Characteristics .....	II-1
C. Bridges .....	II-3
D. Traffic and Operations.....	II-3
1. 2007 Volumes and Performance.....	II-3
2. Future Volumes and Performance .....	II-6
E. Crash History.....	II-7
F. Adequacy Ratings.....	II-8
G. Environmental Features.....	II-10
1. Natural Resources .....	II-10
2. Man-made Resources.....	II-12
H. Geotechnical Concerns .....	II-12
III. PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED .....	III-1
A. Purpose of Rockfall Catchment Component.....	III-1
B. Purpose of Intersection Improvement Component.....	III-1
1. Improved Traffic Operations.....	III-1
2. Access to Surrounding Streets and Driveways .....	III-1
3. Adequate Storage for School Queues .....	III-2
4. Environmental Impacts.....	III-2
IV. DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES .....	IV-1
A. First Project Team Meeting.....	IV-1
B. Geotechnical Meeting .....	IV-1
C. Definition of Rockfall Alternatives .....	IV-1
1. Alternative A: Rockwall Benching .....	IV-1
2. Alternative B: Rockfall Fence .....	IV-2
3. Alternative C: Rockfall Drape .....	IV-2
4. Other Measures Considered .....	IV-3
D. Definition of Preliminary Intersection Alternatives .....	IV-3
1. Alternative 1 .....	IV-3
2. Alternative 2 .....	IV-4
3. Alternative 3 .....	IV-4
4. Alternative 4 .....	IV-4
5. Alternative 5 .....	IV-4
6. Alternative 6 .....	IV-4
E. Second Project Team Meeting .....	IV-4
F. Modification of Intersection Alternatives .....	IV-5

V. STAKEHOLDER AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....	V-1
A. Stakeholders Meeting .....	V-1
B. Public Meeting .....	V-1
C. Alternatives for Further Evaluation .....	V-4
VI. ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS .....	VI-1
A. AM Peak Hour Analysis .....	VI-1
B. Future Year Analysis .....	VI-1
C. Left Turn Lane on KY 1426 .....	VI-1
D. School Access Drive Control Measures .....	VI-2
VII. RECOMMENDATIONS .....	VII-1
A. Project Purpose and Need.....	VII-1
B. Third Project Team Meeting .....	VII-1
C. Recommended Rockfall Alternative .....	VII-1
D. Recommended Intersection Alternative.....	VII-1
E. Potential Design Criteria and Considerations .....	VII-2

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

- Appendix A – Study Area Photos
- Appendix B – Meeting Minutes
- Appendix C – Preliminary Alternatives
- Appendix D – Refined Alternatives

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1 – Study Area Map .....	I-2
Figure 2.1 – ADT Volumes in Study Area .....	II-4
Figure 2.2 – 2007 Hourly Volumes at Key Intersections .....	II-5
Figure 2.3 – High Crash Spot Data .....	II-9
Figure 2.4 – Time Distribution of Crashes at Spot (KY 1426 MP 6.1-6.2) .....	II-10
Figure 2.5 – Environmental Footprint.....	II-11
Figure 4.1 – Rockwall Benching Concept.....	IV-1
Figure 4.2 – Rockfall Fencing Concept.....	IV-2
Figure 4.3 – Rockfall Drape Concept.....	IV-3
Figure 5.1 – Existing Problems in the Study Area .....	V-3
Figure 5.2 – Preferred Intersection Alternatives .....	V-3
Figure 5.3 – Preferred Rockfall Alternatives .....	V-4
Figure 7.1 – KY 1426 Typical Section with Turn Lane.....	VII-3
Figure 7.2 – KY 1426 Typical Section with Rockfall Fence .....	VII-3

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2.1 – Highway Systems Information .....	II-2
Table 2.2 – Geometric Characteristics Information.....	II-2
Table 2.3 – Performance Measures for 2007 Existing Network.....	II-6
Table 2.4 – High CRF Segments and Spots .....	II-7
Table 2.5 – Adequacy Ratings.....	II-10
Table 4.1 – Rockfall Alternative Cost Estimates .....	IV-3
Table 4.2 – Performance Measures for Refined Intersection Alternatives.....	IV-6
Table 4.3 – Costs and Conflict Points for Refined Intersection Alternatives .....	IV-6
Table 5.1 – Should the Road/Intersection Be Improved? .....	V-2
Table 6.1 – Performance Measures for a Left Turn Lane at Huffman .....	VI-2